BEFORE THE

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY)	
)	
Petition to Determine the Applicability of)	
Section 16-125(e) Liability to Events Caused By the)	ICC Docket No. 11-0662
February 1, 2011 Storm System)	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

GEORGE E. OWENS, P.E.

ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ILLINOIS

MAY 30, 2012

Exhibit List

Attachment A, consisting of:

- 11-0588 Rebuttal Testimony AG Exhibit 6.0 Owens
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.01
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.02
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.03
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.04
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.05
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.06
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.07
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.08
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.09
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.10
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.11
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.12
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.13
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.14
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.15
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.16
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.17
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.18
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.19
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.20
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.21
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.22
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.23
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.24
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.25
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.26
- 11-0588 AG Exhibit 6.27

BEFORE THE

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

COM	MONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY)		
)		
Petitio	on to Determine the Applicability of)		
Section	n 16-125(e) Liability to Events Caused By the)	ICC Docket No. 11-0662	
Februa	ary 1, 2011 Storm System)		
	REBUTTAL TESTIM	IONY		
	OF			
	GEORGE E. OWENS	S, P.E.		
Q:	Please state your name and business address.			
A:	My name is George E. Owens. I am employed by Downes Associates, Inc. ("DAI").			
	My business address is 2129 Northwood Drive,	Salisbur	y, Maryland 21801.	
Q:	Have you been retained in this matter?			
A.	Yes. I have been retained as an expert witness in this proceeding by the Office of the			
	Attorney General, State of Illinois.			
Q:	Are you the same George E. Owens that submitted direct testimony in this			
	proceeding?			
A.	Yes.			
Q.	What are the purposes and subjects of your	rebuttal	testimony?	
A.	My rebuttal testimony clarifies numerous subj	ects pres	ented in my direct testimony	
	which were misstated and confused by Con-	nEd witr	nesses in their filed rebuttal	
	testimony. Specifically, these subjects involv	e ComEd	l's distribution sectionalizing	

methods and equipment, the structural integrity and age of ComEd's distribution poles, inspection and testing of pole grounds, the use of 34 KV static shield bayonet brackets, distribution pole loading and guying, effective tree trimming practices, and selective underground placement of overhead lines.

- Q. In particular, ComEd witnesses Gannon and Mehrtens assert that the effects of winter storms upon overhead distribution systems are inherently different from the effects caused by summer storms. Do you agree with this?
 - No. While it is true that winter storms bring into play the effects of ice and snow loading upon distribution poles and overhead conductors which summer storms do not, the overall consequences of winter storms upon the distribution system are actually quite similar to the overall effects of summer storms. This is because tree contact with overhead equipment and wind loading on conductors and structures are equally critical issues with both types of storms. In addition, the sectionalizing tools which the utility has available for responding to and dealing with the outages are the same in both types of storms, and the same storm restoration procedures must be followed in both types of storm events with the additional burden of having to deal with ice and snow removal in the winter. The same deficiencies in vegetative management, structural problems with distribution poles and the lack of adequate sectionalizing equipment in operation throughout the distribution system of the utility will handicap the utility in similar ways except that winter storms add the problems of ice and snow removal. Likewise, the increase in the number and duration of power outages resulting from system deficiencies will be the same whether the initiating event is a winter snow storm or a summer thunder storm. For these reasons, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

- 1 rebuttal testimony that I prepared for the summer storm case is totally applicable to
- the winter storm case.
- **3 Q: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**
- 4 A: Yes it does.